

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,590	10/01/2003	Sig Harold Badt JR.	139161	7975
24587 7: ALCATEL USA	590 03/13/2007	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 3400 W. PLANO PARKWAY, MS LEGL2 PLANO, TX 75075			HERNANDEZ, JOSIAH J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2609	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/676,590	BADT, SIG HAROLD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Josiah Hernandez	2609				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting 17 if apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Oc</u>	<u>ctober 2003</u> .					
a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 October 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/07/2003,2/14/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

A

Application/Control Number: 10/676,590

Art Unit: 2609

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-11, 13, 15-18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated over Dvorak (US PGPub 2005/0071171).

As to claim 1, Dvorak discloses a computer interface system (see paragraphs [0005] lines 2-4; [0019] lines4-8), comprising: a microphone that receives audio input from a user (see paragraph [0020] lines 18-21); a voice recognition mechanism (see paragraphs [0006] lines 1-5, [0007] lines 4-10); and a graphical user interface that prompts the user for expected inputs that the user can speak at designated points in a dialog according to a specified grammar (see paragraphs [0005] lines 5-10, [0006] lines 4-8); wherein prompts may specify the type of expected input (see paragraph [0007] lines 16-18); wherein prompts may

Application/Control Number: 10/676,590

Art Unit: 2609

specify words that are recognized by the system (see paragraph [0008] lines 14-18).

As to claim 8, Dvorak discloses a computer program product in a computer readable medium for use in a computer interface system (see paragraphs [0019] and [0020]), the computer program product comprising: first instructions for receiving audio input from a user (see paragraphs [0005] lines 1-5, [0020] lines 18-21); second instructions for automatic voice recognition (see paragraphs [0006] lines 1-5, [0007] lines 4-10); and third instructions for displaying a graphical user interface that prompts the user for expected inputs that the user can speak at designated points in a dialog according to a specified grammar (see paragraphs [0005] lines 5-10, [0006] lines 4-8); wherein prompts may specify the type of expected input (see paragraph [0007] lines 16-18); wherein prompts may specify words that are recognized bye the system (see paragraph [0008] lines 14-18).

As to claim 15, Dvorak discloses a method for interfacing between a computer and a human user (see paragraphs [0019] and [0020]), the method comprising the computer-implemented steps of: receiving audio input from the user (see paragraphs [0005] lines 1-5, [0020] lines 18-21); interpreting the audio input via voice recognition (see paragraphs [0006] lines 1-5, [0007] lines 4-10); and displaying a graphical user interface that prompts the user for expected

inputs that the user can speak at designated points in a dialog according to a specified grammar (see paragraphs [0005] lines 5-10, [0006] lines 4-8); wherein prompts may specify the type of expected input (see paragraph [0007] lines 16-18); wherein prompts may specify words that are recognized by the system (see paragraph [0008] lines 14-18).

As to claims 2, 9, and 16, Dvorak discloses a multi-modal input system wherein prompts that represent non-terminal tokens in the grammar are replaced with one of a set of other prompts in the grammar in response to the spoken input (see figure 3). Dvorak shows in figure 3 that after the non-terminal tokens (words or keywords) are spoken it then goes to the next set of prompts.

As to claims 3, 10, and 17, Dvorak discloses a multi-modal input system wherein the graphical user interface is built automatically from a single dictionary and grammar specification (see paragraph [0007] lines 6-8 and lines 10-13).

As to claims 4, 11, and 18, Dvorak discloses said system with at least one speaker that provides audio prompts for expected inputs (see figure 5 and paragraph [0020] lines 11-13).

As to claims 6, 13, and 20, Dvorak discloses said system with a graphical user interface that further comprises a pull-down menu (see figure 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/676,590 Page 5

Art Unit: 2609

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5, 7, 12, 14, 19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dvorak (US PGPub 2005/0071171) in view of Katsuranis (US PGPub 2005/0021336).

As to claims 5, 12, and 19, Dvorak does not specifically disclose a multi-modal input system that the prompt may further comprise a second graphical user interface window. Katsuranis teaches a multi-modal input system that displays and controls the content of a second graphical application window while in a first graphical application window in a windowed computing environment having a voice recognition engine (see abstract lines 1-5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary

Application/Control Number: 10/676,590 Page 6

Art Unit: 2609

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the multi-modal input system of Dvorak with the feature of the first and second graphical user interface window as taught by Katsuranis. Doing so would have allowed a user using the system to be able to organize the graphical window he or she is working with and eliminate the frustration of having to toggle through numerous windows just to refer from one window to the other (see paragraphs [0004], [0005], [0033]).

As to claims 7, 14, and 21, Dvroak does not specifically disclose a multi-modal input system that comprises a set of reserved words that activate specified prompts when spoken by the user. Katsuranis teaches using key word commands to open, view, retrieve, and much more (see abstract lines 5-10). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the multi-modal input system of Dvorak with the features of a set of key word commands as taught by Katsuranis. Doing so gives the system key words that are not necessarily a non-terminal command but are intended to facilitate the navigation and experience of the user.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2609

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Josiah Hernandez whose telephone number is 571-270-1646. The examiner can normally be reached from 7:30 pm to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xiao Wu can be reached on (571) 272-7761. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

W OAIX

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER